1	Kamala D. Harris	
,	Attorney General of California SARA J. DRAKE	
2 .	Senior Assistant Attorney General	. 4
3	WILLIAM L. WILLIAMS, JR. Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 99581 J 300 I Street, Suite 125	
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
6	Telephone: (916) 324-3725	
7	Fax: (916) 327-2319 E-mail: bill.williams@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for Complainant, Chief of Bureau of Gambling Control, Department of Justice	
9 .		
10	BEFORE THE	
1.1	CALIFORNIA GAMBLING CONTROL COMMISSION	
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13		_
14	In the Matter of the Accusation and	BGC Case No. SA2010-00037
15	Statement of Issues Against:	CGCC Case No. CGCC-2010-06-24
16	JOSE ALVAREZ CAHUE, Sole Proprietor	
17	CAESAR'S CLUB 184 Main Street	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
	Watsonville, CA 95076	DECISION AND ORDER
18		
19	License Number GEGE-000485	
20		
21	The parties to the above-captioned proce	eding agree and stipulate as follows:
22	PARTIES	
23	1. Respondent Jose Alvarez Cahue (Respondent Owner) owns, as a sole proprietor, the	
24	gambling enterprise presently known and doing business as Caesar's Club, located at 184 Main	
25	Street. Watsonville. California 95076 (Cardroom).	
26	2. Martin Horan Jr. is the current acting Chief of the Bureau of Gambling Control.	
27	California Department of Justice (Bureau). and is hereby substituted in as the Complainant in th	
28	proceeding. His involvement in this action was	solely in his official capacity and Complainant is

represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris. Attorney General of the State of California, by William L. Williams. Jr., Deputy Attorney General.

## CORRECTION OF CLERICAL ERROR IN CASE CAPTION

- 3. This proceeding as filed contained a clerical error, a misspelling of the name of Respondent Owner's gambling establishment. The name of Respondent Owner's gambling establishment should be "CAESAR'S CLUB" rather than "Ceasar's Club." The parties therefore agree as follows on this preliminary matter:
  - a. The case caption in this proceeding shall be corrected to read as set forth in the case caption of this Stipulation and Proposed Decision and Order, replacing "Ceasar's Club" with the corrected spelling "Caesar's Club;" and
  - b. The Commission records pertaining to this proceeding shall be corrected to reflect the foregoing corrected spelling.

## PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

- 4. On or about May 28, 2009. Respondent Owner submitted an application to renew his State Gambling License as the owner of the Cardroom. On or about June 24, 2010, the California Gambling Control Commission (Commission) declined to renew Respondent Owner's license, and referred the matter for an evidentiary hearing.
- 5. Respondent Owner's license expired on June 30, 2010, but by the Commission's July 1, 2010 communication Respondent Owner was authorized to continue to operate the Cardroom until the Commission has issued a final decision on Respondent Owner's application to renew his license. This proceeding was thereafter instituted in order to provide an evidentiary hearing to allow the Commission to issue its decision on the license renewal application.

#### JURISDICTION

6. On or about December 22, 2010. Complainant's predecessor filed the Accusation and Statement of Issues with the Commission and served it on Respondent Owner. A copy of the Accusation and Statement of Issues is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein as Exhibit A. On or about January 7, 2011, a Notice of Defense on behalf of Respondent Owner was timely filed with the Commission. This matter is now subject to an administrative hearing

25° 

pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act. Government Code section 11500 et seq.

7. The parties agree that the Commission has jurisdiction over this matter and has authority to approve this Stipulation and Proposed Decision and Order (Stipulation).

#### PURPOSE

- 8. Respondent Owner has now determined not to proceed with an administrative hearing on the Accusation and Statement of Issues, has entered into a sales agreement with GLC. Inc. (Buyer) to sell the Cardroom, and desires to settle this matter on the terms set forth in this Stipulation. Accordingly, the parties jointly request that the Commission, at or before its next regularly scheduled meeting, or as soon thereafter as the Commission may consider the matter, approve the settlement of this matter as set forth herein.
- 9. The purpose of this Stipulation is to: (a) finally and completely settle the within matter on terms agreeable to the parties; (b) effect the denial of Respondent Owner's application for renewal of his State Gambling license as the owner of the Cardroom based upon the Accusation and Statement of Issues filed herein; (c) allow the sale of the Cardroom to Buyer; and (d) allow the application(s) of Buyer and such associated persons as are appropriate for State Gambling License(s) as the new owners of the Cardroom to be considered for suitability in their own right under the Gambling Control Act.

### PRIMARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

10. Subject to approval of this Stipulation by the Commission. Respondent Owner: (a) waives his right to a hearing on the Accusation and Statement of Issues filed in this proceeding with the Commission. Exhibit A to this Stipulation, and to any further right of judicial review: (b) accepts the allegations and causes for license discipline and/or denial of his application for renewal of his State Gambling License contained in the Accusation and Statement of Issues as true and accurate: and (c) agrees that the Commission may adopt the Accusation and Statement of Issues as its findings of fact and law, and may adopt this Stipulation as the decision in this matter to deny Respondent Owner's application for renewal of his State Gambling License and, as further detailed in the following paragraph, terminating Respondent Owner's license or license rights and all authority or permission to continue to operate a gambling establishment or to

hereafter seek re-licensure to do so.

- operate the Cardroom until a final resolution of this matter shall be maintained in effect until the earlier of: 1) completion of the sale of the Cardroom: or 2) 180 days from the effective date of the decision in this matter. Respondent Owner thereafter shall cease operation of the Cardroom. shall not seek approval or licensure to operate or serve in any key employee position in the Cardroom or any other gambling establishment in the State of California and, except as expressly provided in paragraph 14. shall have no further involvement in any operations of the Cardroom. Any application by Respondent Owner inconsistent with the terms of this Stipulation shall be denied.
- 12. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19902 and 19903, and subject to the effectuation of all of the other terms of this Stipulation, Respondent Owner may sell the Cardroom to Buyer. The application(s) of Buyer and such associated persons as are required to obtain State Gambling License(s) to own and/or operate the Cardroom will be lawfully reviewed in their own right based upon the filing of their applications with the Commission concurrent with the Commission's consideration of this Stipulation. The application(s) of Buyer and such associated persons will be determined on their own merits, based upon the applicants' suitability for licensure under the Gambling Control Act.
- 13. Respondent Owner will have no involvement in the ownership and/or management of the Cardroom after its sale to Buyer.
- 14. This Stipulation does not bar Respondent Owner from working in a non-managerial and non-key employee role at the Cardroom after the Cardroom sale, provided: (1) such role does not exceed a duration of six months after sale of the Cardroom; (2) Respondent Owner first obtains a work permit as provided for in Business and Professions Code section 19910 et seq.: and (3) such employment is not in any supervisory capacity and shall not involve making discretionary decisions or other activities of a "key employee" as defined in Business and Professions Code section 19805, subdivision (x). Further, this Stipulation is not a bar to Respondent Owner working in a non-managerial and non-key employee role in cardrooms other

than the Cardroom, provided that Respondent Owner first obtains the required work permit for such employment. This Stipulation shall not itself be a bar to issuance of a work permit to Respondent Owner.

- Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$ 6.000.00) in costs and outstanding fees to the Bureau in full and final settlement of his obligations to pay licensing fees, and investigation and prosecution costs under the Gambling Control Act. A cashier's check in that amount, made payable to the "State of California Department of Justice Bureau of Gambling Control" is submitted with this Stipulation as full payment of these costs, and shall be held by the State of California Department of Justice until the Commission adopts this Stipulation and issues the within Decision and Order. In the event the Commission does not adopt this Stipulation, Decision and Order, within fifteen (15) business days after the Commission meeting at which the Stipulation, Decision and Order is considered, all payments tendered in connection with this Stipulation shall be returned or reimbursed to the payor in full.
- 16. Respondent Owner will pay or cause to be paid to the Commission the amount of Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and No Cents (\$ 2,500.00) as a fine or penalty. A cashier's check in that amount, made payable to the "State of California Gambling Control Commission" is submitted with this Stipulation as full payment of this fine or penalty, and shall be held by the State of California Department of Justice until the Commission adopts this Stipulation and issues the within Decision and Order. In the event the Commission does not adopt this Stipulation. Decision and Order, within fifteen (15) business days after the Commission meeting at which the Stipulation. Decision and Order is considered, all payments tendered in connection with this Stipulation shall be returned or reimbursed to the payor in full.
- 17. In the event the Commission does not adopt this Stipulation and an evidentiary hearing or other proceeding before an administrative law judge and/or the Commission becomes necessary, neither any member of the Commission, nor the Executive Director of the Commission, shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

Ġ

Ca hue and his attorney. Richard Taylor, and Respondent Owner has been fully advised of the effect of this Stipulation as it waives any rights he may have to: (a) an administrative hearing on the Accusation and Statement of Issues: (b) any judicial challenge to this Stipulation: and/or (c) collaterally challenge the terms of this Stipulation. Respondent Owner enters into this Stipulation voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agrees to be bound by this Stipulation and the terms of the Decision and Order upon adoption by the Commission.

- 19. This Stipulation may be considered by the Commission in closed session.

  Respondent Owner also specifically agrees that counsel for the Bureau and/or Bureau staff may communicate directly with the Commission or its staff regarding this Stipulation prior to Commission action thereon, without notice to, or participation by, Respondent Owner or his counsel, and that no such communications shall be deemed a prohibited ex parte communication.
- 20. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulation, Decision and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 21. This Stipulation constitutes the entire understanding between the parties concerning the subject matter that it covers. Any oral representations or modifications made prior to or after execution of this Stipulation concerning the subject matter of this Stipulation shall have no force or effect.
- 22. In consideration of the foregoing stipulations, the parties agree that the Commission may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the Decision and Order set forth below.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The foregoing Stipulation of the parties is hereby approved. The allegations and causes for license discipline and denial of license renewal of Respondent Jose Alvarez Cahue's State Gambling License contained in the Accusation and Statement of Issues, attached hereto as Exhibit A, are deemed to be true and accurate and are adopted as the Commission's findings of

1	fact and law. This Stipulation and Proposed Decision shall serve as the decision in this matter.			
2	Therefore. Jose Alvarez Cahue's Application for Renewal of State Gambling License Number			
3	GEGE-000485 is hereby denied and the other provisions of this Stipulation shall become			
4	effective.			
5	This decision shall become effective upon execution below by the Commission			
6	Chairperson.			
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
8	Dated: 2011			
9	Datted 2011			
10	STEPHANIE SHIMAZU. Acting Chairperson California Gam bling Control Commission			
11				
12				
13	ACCEPTANCE			
14	I have carefully read the foregoing Stipulation and Proposed Decision and Order and have			
15	fully discussed it with my attorney. Richard Taylor. I understand the Stipulation and Proposed			
16	Decision and Order and the effect it will have on State Gambling License Number GEGE-			
17	000485. I enter into this Stipulation and Proposed Decision and Order voluntarily, knowingly,			
18	and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Order of the Commission.			
19	Dated: 3 /25/16, 2011			
20	Dated: 31-2011			
21	MSE ALVAREZ CAHUE Respondent			
22	Responeron			
23				
24	ENDORSEMENT			
25	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent the terms and conditions and other matter			
26				
27				

ļ	
	contained in the above Stipulation and Proposed Decision and Order. I approve its form and
2	content.
3	Dated: 3-25, 2011
4	tellase (age)
5 .	RICHARD TAYLOR  LAW OFFICES OF TAYLOR & UEBERRHEIN  Attorney for Respondent
6	
7	COMPLAINANT'S ACCEPTANCE
8	COMPLAINANT BACCELTANCE
9	Dated: April 13, 2011
10	The second secon
11	MARTIN HORAN, JR, Acting Chief Bureau of Gambling Control
12	
13	ENDORSEMENT
:14	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
15	submitted for consideration by the California Gambling Control Commission.
16	Dated: 15. 2011 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of the State of California
17	Aug / State
18	By: WILLIAMS, JR.
. 19,	Deputy Attorney General Attorney's for Complainant
20	7 (LLOTING & TOT COMPALIACIN
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	SARA J. DRAKE Senior Assistant Attorney General		
3	WILLIAM L. WILLIAMS, JR. Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 99581 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 324-3725 Fax: (916) 327-2319		
7 8	E-mail; bill.williams@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for Complainant, Chief of Bureau of Gambling Control, Department of Justice		
9	*		
10	BEFORE THE		
11	CALIFORNIA GAMBLING CONTROL COMMISSION		
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	In the Matter of the Accusation and	BGC Case No. SA2010-00037	
15	Statement of Issues Against:	CGCC Case No. CGCC-2010-06-24	
16	JOSE ALVAREZ CAHUE, Sole Proprietor		
17	CAESAR'S CLUB 184 Main Street	SUPPLEMENTAL STIPULATION CORRECTING CLERICAL ERROR IN	
18	Watsonville, CA 95076	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED DECISION AND ORDER	
19	License Number GEGE-000485		
20	·		
21	WHEREAS the Stipulation and Proposed	Decision and Order entered by the parties in this	
22	proceeding CGCC-2010-06-24, with signatures dated March 13, 2011 and April 13, 2011		
23	("Stipulation and Proposed Decision"), contains a clerical error, a misspelling on page 3, line 6 o		
24	the name of the entity with whom Respondent Owner has entered into a sales agreement		
25	(hereinafter "Buyer"); and		
26	WHEREAS the name of Buyer referenced in the Stipulation and Proposed Decision		
27	should be "GLCR, Inc." rather than "GLC, Inc."		
28	WHEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:		
		1	

Stipulation Correcting Clerical Error Misspelling in Caption and Order

1	The name of the Buyer referenced in the Stipulation and Proposed Decision shall be	
2	deemed amended, nunc pro tunc, to read "GLCR, Inc." rather than "GLC, Inc.	
3		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED	
5	Dated: 6/24/, 2011	
6	Jose Alvarez Cahue	
7	JOSE ALVAREZ CAHUE	
8	Respondent	
9	Dated: 6-24, 2011	
10	Coloase Touron	
11	RICHARD TAYLOR LAW OFFICES OF TAYLOR & UEBERRHEIN	
12	Attorney for Respondent	
13		
14	Dated: June 29, 2011	
15	Medil Julia	
16	MARTIN HORAN, JR, Acting Chief	
17	Bureau of Gambling Control	
18	Dated: Jule 33, 2011 KAMALA D. HARRIS	
19	Dated: KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of the State of California	
20	Pro Perce / Sugar NAL	
21	WILLIAM L. WILLIAMS, JR.	
22	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

## DECISION AND ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

The foregoing Stipulation has been adopted by a majority vote of the Commission as its final decision and order in this matter and is effective upon execution below by the Commission members.

# IT IS SO ORDERED

ed: June 30, 2011 Signature: Stepha

Signature: (

onklin, Commissioner

Signature: